

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/923,617

Applicant(s)

GANGI, FRANK J.

Examiner

Ella Colbert

Art Unit

3694

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ella Colbert.

(3) Mr. Jonathan Hance.

(2) Mr. Jeff Whittle.

(4) Mr. Denver Bisiginano (telephone conference).

Date of Interview: 7/18/07

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [~~copy given to:~~ 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

*Matt to  
Applicant's Representative.*

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 39-72.


Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
ELLA COLBERT  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative, Mr. Jeff Whittle, discussed with the Examiner the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejections of claims 39, 45, 55, 58, 60, 66, 69, and 72 and the claims that depend from these claims. Terms in the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection were discussed and it was decided to use POS terminal to make the claims in agreement and to put typed of computing devices into the dependent claims and to amend the title, abstract and summary to conform to the claims. Mr. Whittle gave the Examiner a copy of the Federal Reserve System and Electronic Fund Transfers to consider. Mr. Bisiginano joined in the interview by telephone conference and Mr. Hance observed the interview. Mr. Whittle agreed to submit a fax copy of the amendments that will be filed officially.